

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,512		01/12/2001	Simon Daniel Scullion	33718 PCTUSA	6044
21003	7590	02/14/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER &		-	KUHNS, SARAH LOUISE		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1761	
				DATE MAIL ED: 02/14/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/700,512	SCULLION ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sarah L. Kuhns	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be solid apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 111,119 and 171-183 is/are pending is/a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 111,119 and 171-183 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 111, 119 and 171-183 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson, GB 2 232 400B in view of Cassidy (San Jose Mercury News, Morning Ed., Final Science and Medicine, page 1C), Phanny (www.mit.edu/~mbarker/sum97/awar970630.txt, June 30, 1997) and Botsaris, U.S. Patent 5,966,966.

In regard to claims 111, 182 and 183, Johnson discloses a method of serving a draught alcoholic beverage, such as beer, in an open-topped drinking vessel cool, said beverage comprising a water content, an alcohol content, and a dissolved gas content, (page 1). While Johnson does disclose cooling means for controlling a temperature of the beverage prior to dispensing (page 1), it does not disclose ice crystal forming means. Cassidy teaches that it was well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that chilling beer in a container, down to a temperature at which it is almost frozen, causes the beer to freeze up when the container is opened. "When the beer is opened, some of the carbon dioxide bubbles out of the liquid, the freezing point rises to a higher temperature and the beer promptly freezes" (bottom of page 3). It therefore would have been obvious to cool the beer to a temperature below the freezing point of water to

provide ice crystal forming means, which would provide for an iced draught beverage without the addition of ice or water, which would dilute the beverage. This would be made even further obvious in view of Phanny, which discloses, albeit fictionally, the use of a "supercooled tap beer line" to deliver lager to customers. Also, it would have been expected that the ice would develop from an upper level of the beverage downwards in the beverage in view of the teaching of Cassidy, because the carbon dioxide would escape from the open top of the beverage first. The formation of ice would slow down the escape of carbon dioxide from the bottom of the beverage, thereby making the ice projection formed narrower at the bottom of the drinking vessel. Since the head forms due to the escaping carbon dioxide, it would be expected that the ice would form below the head.

Page 3

Johnson does not disclose the use of ultrasound signals. Botsaris discloses a process and system for freeze concentration using ultrasonic nucleation, also called sonocrystallization (abstract). In the process the effluent is supercooled to below the freezing point of water and then exposed to internal ultrasound while agitating (see figure 1). While the patent disclosure is preferably directed to pulp mill effluents, it broadly teaches the use of the process for liquids. It is noted that Botsaris refers to fruit juice concentration (column 2, lines 11-33). As discussed above Cassidy teaches that chilling beer in a container, down to a temperature at which it is almost frozen, will cause the beer to freeze up when the container is opened, making it obvious to cool the beer of Johnson to such a temperature in order to provide an iced draught beverage without the addition of ice or water, which would dilute the beverage. It would further

have been obvious to incorporate an ultrasound generating means into the apparatus of Johnson as a nucleation means because its use in the creation of ice crystals in supercooled fluids was well known.

In regard to claims 119, 175 and 176, Johnson discloses the beverage being beer, lager, and the like (page 1, lines 1-3), which are known to have alcohol contents such as that claimed.

In regard to claims 171-174, it would have been expected that the amount, form and size of the ice crystals would be a function of the temperature of the beer being dispensed and it would have been obvious to vary the temperature in order to achieve the size, form and amount of ice that would chill the beverage as desired.

In regard to claims 177-179, it would have been obvious to cool the beverage to a temperature, such as those claimed, that would cause ice crystal formation, as taught by Cassidy, in order to provide an iced draught beverage without the addition of ice or water, which would dilute the beverage.

In regard to claim 181, Johnson discloses a step of recirculating the beverage within the means for dispensing prior to dispensing the beverage (page 6).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 18, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the teaching of Cassidy concerns the solid freezing of a bottle of beer and as such, there would be no need to modify the teaching of Cassidy with ultrasound nucleation. Johnson discloses a method of serving draught beer and Cassidy and Phanny provide motivation to supercool the beer as discussed above. Cassidy further discloses that the beer freezes as a result of the carbon dioxide bubbling out of the beer and the carbon dioxide would not bubble out of beer coming out of a tap, as in Johnson, until it was in the drinking vessel (head does not form on beer as it is being poured).

Also, as Botsaris discloses that the conventionality of the use of ultrasound signals in the creation of ice crystals in supercooled fluids was well established, there is motivation to use ultrasound signals in the process of Johnson in view of Cassidy and Phanny, since it also relates to supercooled fluid and ice crystal formation.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,512 Page 6

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah L. Kuhns whose telephone number is 571-272-1088. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached at 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SLK

MILTON I. CANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

4Jel-4